arXiv:1304.2805v1 [math.SP] 9 Apr 2013

ABSOLUTELY CONTINUOUS SPECTRUM FOR LIMIT-PERIODIC SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS

HELGE KRÜGER

Abstract. We show that a large class of limit-periodic Schrödinger operators has purely absolutely continuous spectrum in arbitrary dimensions. This result was previously known only in dimension one.

The proof proceeds through the non-perturbative construction of limit-periodic extended states. An essential step is a new estimate of the probability (in quasi-momentum) that the Floquet Bloch operators have only simple eigenvalues.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider Schrödinger operators $\Delta + V$ acting on the lattice $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ for $d \geq 1$. Here Δ is the discrete Laplacian

$$\Delta\psi(n) = \sum_{|e|_1 = 1} \psi(n+e), \quad |x|_1 = |x_1| + \dots + |x_d| \tag{1.1}$$

and the potential V is a multiplication operator by a sequence $V : \mathbb{Z}^d \to \mathbb{R}$. For some general background, see Sections 3 and 4 in [7]. The potential V is called $p = (p_1, \dots, p_d)$-periodic if

$$V(n_1 + p_1, \dots, n_d) = \dots = V(n_1, \dots, n_d + p_d) = V(n_1, \dots, n_d) \tag{1.2}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. A sequence of periods $p^1, p^2, \dots$ is called increasing if p_j^ℓ divides $p_j^{\ell+1}$ for all $\ell \geq 1$ and $j = 1, \dots, d$. V is limit-periodic if there exists an increasing sequence of periods p^j and p^j-periodic potentials V^j such that

$$V_j = V^1 + \dots V^j \tag{1.3}$$

converges to V in $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^d)$.

The main result is

Theorem 1.1. *Let $d \geq 1$, $\varepsilon_1 > 0$, and p^j be an increasing sequence of periods. Then there exists a sequence $\varepsilon_j > 0$, $j \geq 2$, such that for V^j a p^j-periodic potential satisfying $\|V^j\|_{\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \leq \varepsilon_j$, the potential*

$$V = \lim_{j\to\infty} (V^1 + \dots V^j) \tag{1.4}$$

exists in $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ and the Schrödinger operator $\Delta + V$ has purely absolutely continuous spectrum.

Date: April 11, 2013.

Key words and phrases. absolutely continuous spectrum, limit-periodic Schrödinger operators.

H. K. was supported by the Simons Foundation.

This statement was originally proven by Avron and Simon [1] for Schrödinger operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Damanik and Gan [4] gave a proof for the case of $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$. As far as higher dimensional Schrödinger operators are concerned, Karpeshina and Lee [6] have shown the existence of an absolutely continuous component of the spectrum in the perturbative regime of high energies on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. So the results are new for $d \geq 2$. Furthermore, the proof given here is much simpler than the strategy of Karpeshina and Lee.

In difference to Karpeshina and Lee, we do not discuss the spectrum of H as a set. The main reason is that our results allow for the spectrum to contain many gaps, just start with a large enough V_1. Finally, limit-periodic Schrödinger operators with pure-point spectrum have been constructed by Damanik and Gan in [5] in arbitrary dimension. Finally, the results of [3] and Chapter 17 in [2] imply the existence of extended states for quasi-periodic Schrödinger operators in arbitrary dimension and small coupling for a set of frequencies of large measure.

The proof proceeds by constructing generalized eigenfunctions, that is solutions $u : \mathbb{Z}^d \to \mathbb{C}$ of $Hu = Eu$. We will show

Theorem 1.2. *Let V be as in Theorem 1.1. Then for almost every $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $E \in \mathbb{R}$ and non-zero limit-periodic $u : \mathbb{Z}^d \to \mathbb{C}$ such that*

$$Hu = Eu \tag{1.5}$$

and

$$\hat{u}(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) = \lim_{R\to\infty} \frac{1}{\#\Lambda_R(0)} \sum_{n\in\Lambda_R(0)} u(n) e(n_1\theta_1 + \dots + n_d\theta_d) \neq 0. \tag{1.6}$$

Here, we use the notation $e(x) = \mathrm{e}^{2\pi \mathrm{i} x}$ and $\Lambda_R(n) = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \quad |n - x|_\infty \leq R\}$. We will now discuss properties single periodic operator following [9]. Given a period $p \in (\mathbb{Z}_+)^d$, we introduce the set

$$\mathbb{B}_p = \left\{ (\frac{k_1}{p_1},\ \dots,\ \frac{k_d}{p_d}), \quad 0 \leq k_j \leq p_j - 1 \right\}. \tag{1.7}$$

Any p-periodic function V can be written as

$$V(n) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{B}_p} \widehat{V}(k) e(k \cdot n), \tag{1.8}$$

where $x \cdot y = \sum_{j=1}^d x_j y_j$. For $u \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)$, we define the Fourier transform $\hat{u} : \mathbb{T}^d \to \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\hat{u}(x) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^d} u(n) e(x \cdot n). \tag{1.9}$$

This map is extended to $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d) \to \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ as usual. Furthermore, the Fourier transform of $(\Delta + V)u$ is given by

$$\sum_{j=1}^d 2\cos(2\pi x_j) \hat{u}(x) + \sum_{k\in\mathbb{B}_p} \widehat{V}(k) \hat{u}(x + k). \tag{1.10}$$

Letting $\psi_x = \{\hat{u}(x + k)\}_{k\in\mathbb{B}_p}$, we see that the action of this operator is equivalent to

$$\widehat{H}_x \psi(k) = \sum_{j=1}^d 2\cos(2\pi(x_j + k_j))\psi(k) + \sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{B}_p} \widehat{V}(\ell)\psi(k + \ell). \tag{1.11}$$

The operator $\widehat{H}_x$ acts on the $P = p_1 \cdots p_d$ dimensional space $\ell^2(\mathbb{B}_p)$, and we can uniquely define its eigenvalues by

$$E(x,1) \leq E(x,2) \leq \cdots \leq E(x,P). \tag{1.12}$$

Definition 1.3. *Let $\delta > 0$. The spectrum of $\widehat{H}_x$ is called δ-simple if for every $1 \leq \ell \leq P-1$, we have*

$$E(x,\ell+1) - E(x,\ell) \geq \delta. \tag{1.13}$$

The spectrum of $\widehat{H}_x$ is called simple if it is δ-simple for some $\delta > 0$.

For the x such that the spectrum of $\widehat{H}_x$ is simple, we can choose normalized eigenfunctions $\psi(x,\ell)$ of $\widehat{H}_x$ such that

$$\widehat{H}_x \psi(x,\ell) = E(x,\ell)\psi(x,\ell). \tag{1.14}$$

Finally, the map $(x,\ell) \mapsto E(x,\ell)$ is continuous and the map $(x,\ell) \mapsto \psi(x,\ell)$ can be chosen to be continuous at least on the set of simple spectrum. The main technical ingredient in our proofs will be the following theorem.

Theorem 1.4. *Let V be a p-periodic potential. Given $\eta \in (0,\frac{1}{2})$, there exists a set $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathbb{T}^d$ and $\delta = \delta(\eta, \|V\|_\infty, p) > 0$ such that*

- (i) *$|\mathcal{G}| \geq 1 - \eta$.*
- (ii) *For $x \in \mathcal{G}$, we have that the spectrum of $\widehat{H}_x$ is δ-simple.*

A more detailed statement is given in Section 2. In particular, the dependance of δ on η is quantitative and given by $\delta = \eta^{C\log(P)P^2}$ for a constant $C > 1$. In fact, the contents of that section are the main technical steps in the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Before deducing how to prove Theorem 1.2, we give a non-quantitative argument that implies Theorem 1.4 for some $\delta > 0$.

Define the discriminant

$$f(x) = \prod_{j<\ell} (E_j(x) - E_\ell(x))^2. \tag{1.15}$$

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have that $|E_j(x)| \leq \|\widehat{H}_x\| \leq 2d + \|V\|_\infty$. Thus, we obtain that

$$\min_{j\neq\ell} |E_j(x) - E_\ell(x)| \geq \frac{|f(x)|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(2d + \|V\|_\infty)^{\frac{P^2}{2}}}. \tag{1.16}$$

Furthermore we have that $f(x) = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}P(P-1)}\mathrm{Res}(P(\cdot,x), \partial_E P(\cdot,x))$ for $P(E,x) = \det(E - \widehat{H}_x)$, where Res denotes the resultant. As the resultant is a polynomial in the coefficients $c_j(x)$ of $P(E,x) = E^P + \sum_{j=0}^{P-1} c_j(x)E^j$, it follows that $f(x)$ is analytic. The following is a qualitative implementation of Theorem A.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. By Proposition 2.3 (iii), we have that $f(z) \neq 0$ for some $z \in \mathbb{C}^d$. This implies that the map $g_1 : x_1 \mapsto f(x_1, z_2, \ldots, z_d)$ is analytic and not equal to zero, thus $|g_1(x_1)| \geq \kappa_1$ for all $x_1 \in [0,1] \setminus X_1$ with $|X_1| \leq \eta/d$ for some $\kappa_1 > 0$. Applying this construction to $g_j : x_j \mapsto f(x_1, \ldots, x_j, z_{j+1}, \ldots, z_d)$ for $x_\ell \in [0,1] \setminus X_\ell$, we obtain a sequence of sets X_j with $|X_j| \leq \eta/d$ and $|g_j(x_j)| \geq \kappa_j > 0$ for $x_j \notin X_j$. Taking

$$\mathcal{G} = ([0,1] \setminus X_1) \times \cdots \times ([0,1] \setminus X_d)$$

the claim follows. □

We now start with the proof of Theorem 1.2. Denote by $\widehat{H}^j_x$ the p^j-periodic operator with potential $V^j = V_1 + \cdots + V_j$. Let us assume for a second that $V_{j+1} = 0$ and try to understand the relation of $E^j(x,\ell)$ and $E^{j+1}(x,\ell)$. As sets, we clearly have that

$$\sigma(\widehat{H}^{j+1}_x) = \bigcup_{s\in\mathbb{S}_{j+1}} \sigma(\widehat{H}^j_{x+s}), \quad \sigma(\widehat{H}^j_x) = \{E^j(x,\ell)\}_{\ell=1}^{P_j} \tag{1.17}$$

where

$$\mathbb{S}_{j+1} = \left\{ \left(\frac{s_1}{p_1^{j+1}}, \dots, \frac{s_d}{p_d^{j+1}}\right), \quad 0 \le s_k \le \frac{p_k^{j+1}}{p_k^j} - 1 \right\}. \tag{1.18}$$

If the spectrum of $\widehat{H}^{j+1}_x$ is simple, we thus clearly have that there exists for each $1 \le \ell \le P_{j+1}$ an unique $1 \le \tilde{\ell} \le P_j$ and $s \in \mathbb{S}_{j+1}$ such that

$$E^{j+1}(x,\ell) = E^j(x+s,\tilde{\ell}) \tag{1.19}$$

and $\psi^{j+1}(x,\ell) = c\psi^j(x+s,\tilde{\ell})$ for some $|c| = 1$.

Remark 1.5. *In order to understand, the equality* $\psi^{j+1}(x,\ell) = c\psi^j(x+s,\tilde{\ell})$*, we view* $\psi^j(x,\ell)$ *as an element of* $\ell^2(\mathbb{B}_{p^j} + x)$*. Then as* $\mathbb{B}_{p^j} + x + s \subseteq \mathbb{B}_{p^{j+1}} + x$ *for* $s \in \mathbb{S}_{j+1}$ *the equality makes sense in* $\ell^2(\mathbb{B}_{p^{j+1}} + x)$*. These are natural choices given the definition of* $\widehat{H}^j_x$*. Finally, we have that*

$$\mathbb{B}_{p^{j+1}} = \bigcup_{s\in\mathbb{S}_{j+1}} (\mathbb{B}_{p^j} + s) \tag{1.20}$$

and $(\mathbb{B}_{p^j} + s) \cap (\mathbb{B}_{p^j} + \tilde{s}) = \emptyset$ *for* $s, \tilde{s} \in \mathbb{S}_{j+1}$ *and* $s \ne \tilde{s}$.

Let us now consider the case of $V_{j+1} \ne 0$. For this, we will assume that the spectrum of $\widehat{H}^{j+1}_x$ is δ-simple for some $\delta > 0$. Then if $\|V_{j+1}\|_\infty \le \frac{\delta}{3}$, we got for the same identification $\ell \mapsto (s, \tilde{\ell})$ that

$$|E^{j+1}(x,\ell) - E^j(x+s,\tilde{\ell})| \le \|V_{j+1}\|_\infty. \tag{1.21}$$

Thus we have by Theorem B.1

$$d(\psi^{j+1}(x,\ell), \psi^j(x+s,\tilde{\ell})) \le \frac{2}{\delta}\|V_{j+1}\|_\infty \tag{1.22}$$

where

$$d(\psi,\varphi) = \inf_{|c|=1} \|\psi - c\varphi\| \tag{1.23}$$

is the distance between normalized eigenfunctions. We define the parametrizing set

$$\mathbb{P}_j = \mathbb{V}_j \times \{1,\dots,P_j\}, \quad \mathbb{V}_j = [1, \frac{1}{p_1^j}) \times \cdots \times [1, \frac{1}{p_d^j}). \tag{1.24}$$

Clearly $|\mathbb{P}_j| = 1$. In order to state our main result, we introduce $\eta_j = 2^{-j}$, δ_j is the δ obtained from Theorem 1.4, and $\varepsilon_j = (\delta_j)^{10}$.

Theorem 1.6. *Assume* $\|V_{j+1}\|_\infty \le \varepsilon_{j+1}$*. Then there exists* $\mathbb{G}_{j+1} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{j+1}$ *and a map* $A_j : \mathbb{G}_{j+1} \to \mathbb{P}_j$ *such that*

(i) $|\mathbb{G}_{j+1}| \ge 1 - \eta_j$.

(ii) *For* $(x,\ell) \in \mathbb{G}_{j+1}$*, we have that* $A_j(x,\ell) = (x+s,\tilde{\ell})$ *for some* $s \in \mathbb{S}_{j+1}$*,* $\tilde{\ell} \in \{1,\dots,P_j\}$.

(iii) *The map* A_j *is continuous.*
(iv) *For* $(x, \ell) \in \mathbb{G}_{j+1}$*, we have*

$$|E^{j+1}(x, \ell) - E^j(A_j(x, \ell))| \leq \varepsilon_{j+1} \tag{1.25}$$

and

$$d(\psi^{j+1}(x, \ell), \psi^j(A_j(x, \ell))) \leq \frac{2\varepsilon_{j+1}}{\delta_{j+1}}. \tag{1.26}$$

Proof. This is essentially, what we have discussed above. □

We have seen that if for $(x, \ell) \in \mathbb{P}_j$, there exists $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\ell}) \in \mathbb{G}_{j+1}$ such that $(x, \ell) = A_j(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\ell})$ then this $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\ell})$ is unique. Finally, we have that $|A_j(\mathbb{G}_{j+1})| = |\mathbb{G}_{j+1}|$. Hence, for any j, we have that the set

$$\mathcal{G}_j = \bigcap_{k \geq j} A_j \cdots A_k \mathbb{G}_{k+1} \tag{1.27}$$

has measure

$$|\mathcal{G}_j| \geq 1 - \sum_{k \geq j}^{\infty} \eta_k \geq 1 - 2\eta_j. \tag{1.28}$$

We also note that $\mathcal{G}_j \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{j+1}$. For $(x, \ell) \in \mathcal{G}_j$, we obtain a sequence (x_k, ℓ_k) such that

$$(x, \ell) = A_j \cdots A_k(x_k, \ell_k) \tag{1.29}$$

and we have that the eigenfunctions and eigenvalues converges. In particular that

$$d(\psi^k(x_k, \ell_k), \psi^{\tilde{k}}(x_{\tilde{k}}, \ell_{\tilde{k}})) \leq 2\delta_k^9 \tag{1.30}$$

for $\tilde{k} \geq k \geq j$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. As the convergence is fast enough to also imply convergence in the ℓ^1 norm, i.e. for the sequence (x_ℓ, ℓ_k) corresponding to $(x, \ell) \in \mathcal{G}_j$, we have

$$\|\psi^k(x_k, \ell_k) - \psi^j(x, \ell)\|_{\ell^1} \leq 2\delta_k^9.$$

Define

$$\varphi^k(n) = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{B}} \psi^k(x_k, \ell_k; t) e(-t \cdot n).$$

Then we have that the φ^k converge to a limit φ in $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ and $(H^k - E(x_k, \ell_k))\varphi^k = 0$. Letting $E = \lim_{k \to \infty} E(x_k, \ell_k)$, we find

$$(H - E)\varphi = 0.$$

Finally, by construction it is easy to see that we can satisfy the frequency condition for all x such that $(x, \ell) \in \mathcal{G}_j$ for some ℓ. As $|\mathcal{G}_j| \to 1$, the claim follows. □

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we will need a sharpening of Theorem 1.4, which we present in the following section. Then, we proceed to prove Theorem 1.1.

2. Simple spectrum

The goal of this section is to prove a sharpening of Theorem 1.4.

Theorem 2.1. *Let V be a p-periodic potential. Given $\eta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, there exists a set $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathbb{T}^d$ such that*

(i) $|\mathbb{T}^d \setminus \mathcal{G}| \leq \eta$.

(ii) *For $x \in \mathcal{G}$, we have the spectrum of $\widehat{H}_x$ is δ-simple for*

$$\delta = (\eta)^{CP^2 \log(P)} \tag{2.1}$$

for some $C > 1$ that only depends on d and $\|V\|_\infty$.

(iii) *For $x \in \mathcal{G}$, we have that $|\partial_{x_d} E(x, \ell)| \geq \gamma$ for*

$$\gamma = (\eta)^{CP^2 \log(P)}. \tag{2.2}$$

for some $C > 1$ that only depends on d and $\|V\|_\infty$.

In order to prove this theorem, we will need to gain further understanding of the operator $\widehat{H}_x$. We begin by proving a simple proposition, which we will need for the study of the absolutely continuous spectrum and whose proof introduces some techniques necessary to prove Theorem 2.1.

Proposition 2.2. *Let V be a p-periodic potential, $x' \in [0, (p_1)^{-1}) \times \dots [0, (p_{d-1})^{-1})$, and $E \in \mathbb{R}$. Then*

$$\#\{x_d \in [0, (p_{d-1})^{-1}) : E \in \sigma(\widehat{H}_{(x', x_d)})\} \leq 2 p_1 \cdots p_{d-1}. \tag{2.3}$$

We define

$$P(x; E) = \det(\widehat{H}_x - E) \tag{2.4}$$

and observe that it is a trigonometric polynomial of degree $P = p_1 \cdots p_d$ in each of the x_j. Furthermore, we have that $P(\tilde{x}; E) = P(x; E)$ if $\tilde{x}_j - x_j \in \frac{1}{p_j}\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof of Proposition 2.2. $E \in \sigma(\widehat{H}_{(x', x_d)})$ is equivalent to $g(x_d) = P(x', x_d; E) = 0$. Now as g is a trigonometric polynomial of degree P, we have that

$$\#\{x_d \in [0, 1) : \quad g(x_d) = 0\} \leq 2P.$$

As the number $\#\{x_d \in [t p_d^{-1}, (t+1) p_d^{-1}) \quad g(x_d) = 0\}$ is constant in t, the claim follows. □

We will need to consider x not just in $[0, 1]^d$ but in the entire complex plane $\mathbb{C}^d$. We will denote in this section by $E_j(x)$ the eigenvalues of $\widehat{H}_x$. We collect the properties of these eigenvalues in.

Proposition 2.3. (i) *For $z \in \mathbb{C}^d$, we have that $|E_j(z)| \leq d + \sum_{j=1}^d \mathrm{e}^{|\mathrm{Im}(z_j)|} + \|V\|_\infty$.*

(ii) *For $z \in \mathbb{C}^d$, we have $|\partial_{z_d} E_j(z)| \leq 2\pi(\mathrm{e}^{|\mathrm{Im}(z_d)|} + 1)$.*

(iii) *Let $y_j = \frac{1}{2\pi} \log(p_1 \cdots p_j 2^j (4(d + \|V\|_\infty) + 1))$, and $z_j = \mathrm{i} y_j$. Then $|E_j(z) - E_\ell(z)| \geq 1$ for $j \neq \ell$.*

(iv) *For z_j as in (iv), we have that $|\partial_{z_d} E_j(z)| \geq \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{e}^{2\pi y_d}$.*

We recall that $\widehat{H}_x : \ell^2(\mathbb{B}_p) \to \ell^2(\mathbb{B}_p)$ is given by $\widehat{H}_x = \widehat{H}_x^0 + \widehat{V}$ with $\|\widehat{V}\| \leq \|V\|_\infty$ and

$$\widehat{H}_x^0 \psi(k) = \sum_{j=1}^{d} 2\cos(2\pi(x_j + k_j))\psi(k) \tag{2.5}$$

is a multiplication operator.

Proof of Proposition 2.3 (i), (ii). This follows from the bound

$$\|\widehat{H}_x^0\| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{d} \cosh(\mathrm{Im}(z_j)) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{d} \mathrm{e}^{|\mathrm{Im}(z_j)|} + d. \tag{2.6}$$

As

$$\partial_{x_d} \widehat{H}_x^0 \psi(k) = -4\pi \sin(2\pi(x_d + k_d))\psi(k) \tag{2.7}$$

and $\partial_{z_d} E_j(z) = \left\langle \psi_j(z), \partial_{z_d} \widehat{H}_z \psi_j(z) \right\rangle$ also (ii) follows. □

We can write

$$\widehat{H}_x = A(x) + B(x) \tag{2.8}$$

with $\|B(x)\| \leq d + \|V\|_\infty$ and $A(x)$ being the diagonal matrix with entries

$$d(k, y) = \sum_{j=1}^{d} e(\frac{k_j}{p_j})\mathrm{e}^{2\pi y_j}, \quad k \in \{0, \dots, p_1 - 1\} \times \cdots \times \{0, \dots, p_d - 1\}. \tag{2.9}$$

Lemma 2.4. *Let $A > 0$. Then for*

$$\mathrm{e}^{2\pi y_1} \geq \frac{A p_1}{2\pi}, \quad \mathrm{e}^{2\pi y_j} \geq p_j \left(\frac{1}{\pi} + \frac{1}{p_{j-1}}\right) \mathrm{e}^{2\pi y_{j-1}} \tag{2.10}$$

we have that for $k \neq \ell$

$$|d(k, y) - d(\ell, y)| \geq A. \tag{2.11}$$

Proof. Let $1 \leq j \leq d$ be the largest choice such that $k_j \neq \ell_j$. Thus

$$e(\frac{k_{j+1}}{p_{j+1}})\mathrm{e}^{2\pi y_{j+1}} + \cdots + e(\frac{k_d}{p_d})\mathrm{e}^{2\pi y_d} = e(\frac{\ell_{j+1}}{p_{j+1}})\mathrm{e}^{2\pi y_{j+1}} + \cdots + e(\frac{\ell_d}{p_d})\mathrm{e}^{2\pi y_d}$$

and

$$|e(\frac{k_j}{p_j})\mathrm{e}^{2\pi y_j} - e(\frac{\ell_j}{p_j})\mathrm{e}^{2\pi y_j}| \geq \frac{2\pi}{p_j}\mathrm{e}^{2\pi y_j}.$$

Thus, we are done if we choose y_j such that

$$\frac{2\pi}{p_j}\mathrm{e}^{2\pi y_j} \geq 2(\mathrm{e}^{2\pi y_1} + \cdots + \mathrm{e}^{2\pi y_{j-1}}) + A$$

holds. □

We see that with our choice of y_j, these bounds hold with $A = d + \|V\|_\infty + 1$.

Proof of Proposition 2.3 (iii). We have that the eigenvalues of $A(y)$ are at least

$$d + \|V\|_\infty + 1$$

apart. Hence, the claim follows by standard bounds. □

Proof of Proposition 2.3 (iv). Let $E_j(y)$ be an eigenvalue of $\widehat{H}_y$. Then by the previous considerations. There exists an unique k such that

$$|E_j(y) - d(k, y)| \leq d + \|V\|_\infty.$$

Hence for ψ a normalized solution of $(\widehat{H}_y - E_j(y))\psi = 0$, we have that

$$\|(A(y) - E_j(y))\psi\| = \|(A(y) + B(y) - E_j(y))\psi + B(y)\psi\| \leq d + \|V\|_\infty.$$

Now as

$$\|(A(y) - E_j(y))\psi\| \geq \sum_{\ell \neq k} |d(\ell, y) - E_j(y)||\psi(\ell)|^2 \geq (3(d + \|V\|_\infty) + 1) \sum_{\ell \neq k} |\psi(\ell)|^2,$$

we conclude that $|\psi(k)|^2 \geq \frac{2}{3}$ and the claim follows. □

We have already defined $f(z)$ in (1.15). We also define

$$g(z) = \mathrm{Res}(P(z; .), \partial_{z_d} P(z; .)), \tag{2.12}$$

which is also analytic and satisfies

$$g(z) = \prod_{\ell} \partial_{z_d} P(z; E_\ell(z)). \tag{2.13}$$

Lemma 2.5. *We have that*

$$g(z) = f(z) \cdot \prod_{\ell} \partial_{z_d} E_\ell(z). \tag{2.14}$$

Proof. As $P(z, E_\ell(z)) = 0$, we have that

$$\partial_{z_d} P(z; E_\ell(z)) = \partial_{z_d} E_\ell(z) \cdot \partial_E P(z; E_\ell(z)).$$

Similarly to (2.13), we have that $f(z) = \prod_\ell \partial_E P(z; E_\ell(z))$, so the claim follows. □

In the following, we will use the norm

$$|z| = \max(|z_1|, \dots, |z_d|) \tag{2.15}$$

on $z \in \mathbb{C}^d$.

Proposition 2.6. (i) $|f(z)| \leq (4d\mathrm{e}^{2\pi|z|} + \|V\|_\infty)^{P^2}$.

(ii) $|g(z)| \leq (4\pi\mathrm{e}^{2\pi|z|})^P \cdot |f(z)|$.

(iii) *There exists y with $1 \leq |y| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \log(P 2^d (4d + 4\|V\|_\infty + 1))$ such that*

$$|f(y)| \geq 1, \quad |g(y)| \geq 1 \tag{2.16}$$

(iv) *For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have that*

$$|\partial_{x_d} P(x; E_\ell(x))| \geq \frac{|g(x)|}{(4d + 2\|V\|_\infty + 1)^{P(P-1)}} \tag{2.17}$$

Proof of Proposition 2.6 (i), (ii). By Proposition 2.3 (i), we have that

$$|E_j(z)| \leq d(1 + \mathrm{e}^{|z|} + \|V\|_\infty)$$

(i) thus follows by (1.15). (ii) now follows by the previous lemma. □

Proof of Proposition 2.6 (iii). The lower bound on $f(y)$ follows by Proposition 2.3 (iv). In order to deduce the one on $g(z)$ use the previous lemma and Proposition 2.3 (v), and that $\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{e}^{2\pi y_d} \geq 1$. □

Proof of Proposition 2.6 (iv). By (2.13), we clearly have that

$$|\partial_{x_d} P(x; E_\ell(x))| \geq |g(x)| \cdot \left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq P} |\partial_{x_d} P(x; E_j(x))|\right)^{-(P-1)}.$$

By Cauchy's integral formula, we obtain for $x = (x', x_d)$

$$\partial_{x_d} P(x; E_j(x)) = -\frac{1}{2\pi \mathrm{i}} \int_{|t - x_d| = 1} \frac{P(x', t, E_j(x))}{(t - x_d)^2} dt.$$

As $\|\widehat{H}(x) - E_j(x)\| \leq 4d + 2\|V\|_\infty + 1$, the claim follows. □

Finally, we observe

Lemma 2.7. *For $|z| \leq 4e|y|$, we have*

$$\log|f(z)| \leq P^2 \Big(4\mathrm{e}\log(P) + C\Big), \tag{2.18}$$

$$\log|g(z)| \leq P(P+1)\Big(4\mathrm{e}\log(P) + C\Big), \tag{2.19}$$

where $C = \log\left(\max(4\pi, 5d) 2^{4\mathrm{e}d}(4d + \|V\|_\infty + 1)^{4e}\right)$.

Proof. This is a computation. □

Proof of Theorem 2.1. The claim follows by Theorem A.1. □

3. The absolutely continuous spectrum of a periodic operator

The goal of this section is to prepare for the proof of Theorem 1.1 given in the next section. The main reason for writing a separate section, is to make this section somewhat more expository.

Let H be a p-periodic operator. For simplicity, we will restrict ourself to considering H in Fourier space, i.e. $\widehat{H} : L^2(\mathbb{T}^d) \to L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$

$$\widehat{H} f(x) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{d} 2\cos(2\pi x_j)\right) f(x) + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{B}} \widehat{V}(k) f(x + k). \tag{3.1}$$

Given $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ and $y \in \mathbb{V} = [0, (p_1)^{-1}) \times \cdots \times [0, (p_d)^{-1})$, we define $f_y \in \ell^2(\mathbb{B})$ by $f_y(k) = f(k + y)$. We have that $\widehat{H}_x f_x = (\widehat{H} f)_x$. We recall that, we denote by $\psi(x, \ell)$ the orthonormal basis of $\ell^2(\mathbb{B})$ consisting of eigenfunctions of $\widehat{H}_x$. Thus, we have that

$$f_y = \sum_{\ell=1}^{P} \langle \psi(y, \ell), f_y \rangle \, \psi(y, \ell). \tag{3.2}$$

Hence, given a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{P} = \mathbb{V} \times \{1, \dots, P\}$, it makes sense to define the projection operator

$$(Q_A f)(y + k) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{P} \chi_A(y, \ell) \, \langle \psi(y, \ell), f_y \rangle \, \psi(y, \ell)_k, \tag{3.3}$$

where $y + k$ is the unique decomposition of $x \in \mathbb{T}^d$ into $y \in \mathbb{V}$ and $k \in \mathbb{B}$. Note $I - Q_A = Q_{\mathbb{P} \setminus A}$ and that Q_A is a projection.

Proposition 3.1. (i) *Let* $f : \mathbb{T}^d \to \mathbb{C}$ *and* $A \subseteq \mathbb{P}$. *Then*

$$\|Q_A f\| \leq P^{\frac{3}{2}} |A|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)}. \tag{3.4}$$

(ii) *If* $A \subseteq B \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ *then* $Q_A \leq Q_B$.

Proof. Let $A_1 = \{x : \quad \exists \ell : \ (x, \ell) \in A\}$ then $|A_1| \leq P|A|$. We compute

$$\|Q_A f\|^2 = \int_{A_1} \sum_{\ell=1, (x,\ell)\in A}^{P} |\langle \psi(x,\ell), f_x\rangle|^2 dx \leq \int_{A_1} \sum_{\ell=1}^{P} \|f_x\|^2 dx$$

As $\|f_x\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{B})} \leq \sqrt{P} \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)}$, (i) follows.

To see that (ii) holds, observe that $Q_B - Q_A = Q_{B\setminus A}$. As $Q_{B\setminus A} \geq 0$, the claim follows. □

Next, we have that

Lemma 3.2. *Let* $\varphi \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)$, $G \subseteq \mathbb{P}$, $\varphi_G = Q_G \varphi$, *and define a measure* μ_G *by*

$$\mu_G(A) = \langle \varphi_G, \chi_A(H) \varphi_G \rangle. \tag{3.5}$$

Assume that

(i) *For* $(x, \ell) \in G$, *we have that*

$$|\partial_{x_d} E(x,\ell)| \geq \gamma. \tag{3.6}$$

(ii) *For* $(x,\ell) \in G$, *we have that the spectrum of* $\widehat{H}_x$ *is* δ*-simple for some* $\delta > 0$.

(iii) *For* $(x,\ell) \in G$, *we have*

$$\|\psi(x,\ell)\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{B})} \leq C_1. \tag{3.7}$$

Then the measure μ *is absolutely continuous and*

$$\left\| \frac{d\mu}{dE} \right\| \leq \frac{4(C_1 \cdot \|\varphi\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)})^2}{\gamma}. \tag{3.8}$$

Proof. We have that

$$\mu([E-\varepsilon, E+\varepsilon]) = \int_{\mathbb{V}} \sum_{\ell=1}^{P} \chi_{[E-\varepsilon,E+\varepsilon]}(E(x,\ell)) \chi_G(x,\ell) \cdot |\langle \psi(x,\ell), \hat{\varphi}_x\rangle|^2 dx.$$

We first observe that

$$|\langle \psi(x,\ell), \hat{\varphi}_x\rangle| \leq \|\psi(x,\ell)\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{B})} \cdot \|\hat{\varphi}_x\|_{\ell^\infty(\mathbb{B})} \leq C_1 \|\varphi\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)}.$$

Let $x' \in [0, (p_1)^{-1}) \times \cdots \times [0, (p_{d-1})^{-1})$. It thus suffices to bound

$$I(\varepsilon) = \int_0^{(p_d)^{-1}} \sum_{\ell=1}^{P} \chi_{[E-\varepsilon,E+\varepsilon]}(E((x', x_d), \ell)) \chi_G(x', x_d, \ell) dx_d.$$

By relabeling the eigenvalues, we may assume that they are analytic on small neighborhoods. Fix some ℓ and denote by I the set of x_d so that $(x', x_d, \ell) \in G$. Then if $[a,b]$ is a subinterval of I, we have by (iii) that

$$|\{x_d \in [a,b] : \quad E((x', x_d), \ell) \in [E-\varepsilon, E+\varepsilon]\}| \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{\gamma}.$$

Due to the simplicity of eigenvalues, we have that (i) is stable. In particular if $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough, $E((x', x_d), \ell) \in [E-\varepsilon, E+\varepsilon]$ implies that there exists $|\tilde{x}_d - x_d| \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{\gamma}$

so that $E((x', \tilde{x}_d), \ell) = E$. Hence, we are always in the case described above. Thus, we obtain

$$I(\varepsilon) \leq \#\{x_d, \ell : \quad E(x', x_d, \ell) = E\} \cdot \frac{4\varepsilon}{\gamma}.$$

By Proposition 2.2 and $|[0, (p_1)^{-1}) \times \cdots \times [0, (p_{d-1})^{-1})| = (p_1 \cdots p_{d-1})^{-1}$, the claim follows. □

4. Proof of absolutely continuous spectrum

The goal of this section is to provide the proof of Theorem 1.1. It clearly suffices to prove that the limit-periodic potentials obeying the conditions given in the proof of Theorem 1.2 have purely absolutely continuous spectrum. One difference is that the conclusions of Theorem 1.4 are not enough, but we will need the full conclusions of Theorem 2.1.

For the readers convenience and easy reference, we summarize the conclusions.

(i) There exist sets $\mathcal{G}_j \subseteq \mathbb{P}_j$ with $|\mathcal{G}_j| \leq \eta_j = \frac{1}{(P_j)^2} \cdot \frac{1}{2^j}$.

(ii) For $(x, \ell) \in \mathcal{G}_j$, we have

$$|\partial_{x_d} E^j(x, \ell)| \geq \gamma_j \tag{4.1}$$

with $\gamma_j \geq 100\delta_{j+1}^2$.

(iii) For $(x, \ell) \in \mathcal{G}_j$ and $k \geq j$, there is an unique (x_k, ℓ_k) such that $(x, \ell) = A_j \cdots A_{k-1}(x_k, \ell_k)$.

(iv) We have for some $|c| = 1$ and $k \geq j$ that

$$\|\psi^j(x, \ell) - c\psi^k(x_k, \ell_k)\|_{\ell^1} \leq 2(\delta_j)^8. \tag{4.2}$$

We note that our choice of η_j is different. Also we need to choose ε_{j+1} such that $\gamma_j \geq 100\varepsilon_{j+1}/\delta_{j+1}$, which is not a problem.

Fix some $k \geq 1$ and for $j \geq k$ consider the projections $P_{k,j} = Q_{G_{k,j}}$ as in (3.3) where

$$G_{k,j} = A_{k-1}^{-1} \cdots A_j^{-1} \mathcal{G}_j. \tag{4.3}$$

Proposition 4.1. (i) $\|I - P_{j,j}\| \leq 2\eta_j P_j^2$.

(ii) $\|P_{k+1,j} - P_{k,j}\| \leq \delta_k$. *In particular, the limit* $P_{\infty,j} = \lim_{k\to\infty} P_{k,j}$ *exists.*

(iii) $\|I - P_{\infty,j}\| \leq 3\eta_j P_j^2$.

(iv) $P_{\infty,j} \leq P_{\infty,j+1}$.

Proof. (i) follows from Proposition 3.1. For (ii) observe, that

$$P_{k,j} f(x + k) = \sum_{\ell} \chi_A(x, \ell) \left\langle \psi^k(A_k(x, \ell)), f \right\rangle \psi^k(A_k(x, \ell))$$

for $A = A_k^{-1} \cdots A_j^{-1} \mathcal{G}_j$. As $d(\psi^{k+1}(x, \ell), \psi^k(A_k(x, \ell))) \leq 2\frac{\varepsilon_{k+1}}{\delta_{k+1}}$, the bound on $\|P_{k+1,j} - P_{k,j}\|$ follows. To see convergence, observe that $\sum_{\ell \geq k} \delta_\ell \leq 2\delta_k$. This bound also implies (iii). Finally for (iv), we have that $P_{k,j+1} \geq P_{k,j}$. Thus this inequality also holds in the limit $k \to \infty$. □

Proposition 4.2. *There exists* $C_j > 0$ *such that for* $\varphi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ *with* $\|\hat{\varphi}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq 1$, *we have for* $k \geq j$

$$\left\langle P_{k,j}\varphi, \chi_{[E-\varepsilon, E+\varepsilon]}(H^k) P_{k,j}\varphi \right\rangle \leq C_j \varepsilon. \tag{4.4}$$

By property (ii), we have that

$$\|\psi^k(x_k, \ell_k)\|_{\ell^1} \leq \sqrt{P^j} + 2(\delta_j)^8. \tag{4.5}$$

For the proof, we need

Lemma 4.3. *Let $k \geq j$ and $(x, \ell) \in A_{k-1}^{-1} \cdots A_j^{-1} \mathcal{G}_j$. Then*

$$|\partial_{x_d} E^k(x, \ell)| \geq \frac{1}{2} \gamma_j. \tag{4.6}$$

Proof. Let $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\ell}) = A_j \cdots A_{k-1}(x, \ell)$. Then

$$d(\psi^k(x, \ell), \psi^j(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\ell})) \leq 3 \frac{\varepsilon_{j+1}}{\delta_{j+1}} \leq (\delta_{j+1})^8.$$

Next, observe that

$$\partial_{x_d} E^j(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\ell}) = \left\langle \psi^j(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\ell}), \partial_{x_d} \widehat{H}^j_{\tilde{x}} \psi^j(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\ell}) \right\rangle = \left\langle \psi^j(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\ell}), \partial_{x_d} \widehat{H}^k_{\tilde{x}} \psi^j(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\ell}) \right\rangle$$

and $|\partial_{x_d} E^j(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\ell})| \geq \gamma_j \geq 100(\delta_{j+1})^8$. Thus, the claim follows. □

Proof of Proposition 4.2. This follows from Lemma 3.2. □

We define now vectors $\varphi_{k,j} = P_{k,j}\varphi$ for $k \geq j$ and measures

$$\mu_{k,j}(A) = \left\langle \varphi_{k,j}, \chi_A(H^k) \varphi_{k,j} \right\rangle. \tag{4.7}$$

We have that as $k \to \infty$, the vectors $\varphi_{k,j}$ converge to a limit φ_j and we also define the measure

$$\mu_j(A) = \langle \varphi_j, \chi_A(H) \varphi_j \rangle. \tag{4.8}$$

As $H^k \to H$ and $\varphi_{k,j} \to \varphi_j$, we have that $\mu_{k,j} \to \mu_j$ and in particular that μ_j is also absolutely continuous. Our results also imply that $\mu_j(A) \geq \mu_{j-1}(A)$.

Define now a measure

$$\mu(A) = \langle \varphi, \chi(H) \varphi \rangle \tag{4.9}$$

As $\varphi_j \to \varphi$, we have that $\mu_j \to \mu$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We may write

$$\mu = \mu_1 + \sum_{j \geq 2} (\mu_j - \mu_{j-1}).$$

As the measures μ_1, $\mu_2 - \mu_1$, $\mu_3 - \mu_2$, ... are all absolutely continuous and positive, it follows that μ is absolutely continuous. As we could choose φ from a dense set, the claim follows. □

Appendix A. Cartan's estimate

In this section, we will prove

Theorem A.1. *Let $f : \mathbb{C}^d \to \mathbb{C}$ be an analytic function. Assume that there exists $y \in \mathbb{C}^d$ with $|y| > 1$ such that $|f(y)| \geq \kappa$ and that we have*

$$\log \sup_{|z| \leq 4\mathrm{e}|y|} |f(z)| \leq A. \tag{A.1}$$

Then

$$|\{x \in [0,1]^d : \quad |f(z)| \leq \kappa \cdot \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{60 \mathrm{e}^3 d |y|} \right)^{d \cdot A} \}| \leq \varepsilon. \tag{A.2}$$

In order to prove this estimate, we will need the original Cartan estimate.

Theorem A.2. *Let $g : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ be an analytic function satisfying*

$$|g(y)| \geq \kappa \tag{A.3}$$

for some $y \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|y| > 1$. Then

$$|\{x \in [0,1] : \quad |g(x)| \leq \delta \cdot \kappa\}| \leq \varepsilon \tag{A.4}$$

for

$$\log(\delta) = \log(\frac{\varepsilon}{60\mathrm{e}^3 |y|}) \cdot \log(\sup_{|z| \leq 4\mathrm{e}|y|} |g(z)|). \tag{A.5}$$

Proof. This is one version of Cartan's Estimate, see Theorem 11.3.4. in Levin's book [10]. □

Proof of Theorem A.1. Define the function

$$g_1(z) = f(z, y_2, \dots, y_d).$$

Then $|g_1(y_1)| \geq \kappa$ and $\log(\sup_{|z| \leq 4\mathrm{e}|y_1|} |g_1(z)|) \leq A$. Hence, there exists a set $X_1 \subseteq [0,1]$ of measure $\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{d}$ such that for $x_1 \in [0,1] \setminus X_1$, we have

$$|f(x_1, y_2, \dots, y_d)| = |g_1(x_1)| \geq \kappa_1 = \kappa \cdot \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{60\mathrm{e}^3 d |y|}\right)^A .$$

Applying this construction inductively to

$$g_j(z) = f(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, z, y_{j+1}, \dots, y_d)$$

with $x_\ell \in [0,1] \setminus X_\ell$, we obtain sets $X_1, \dots, X_d$ such that for $x_\ell \in [0,1] \setminus X_\ell$ for $1 \leq \ell \leq j$, we have

$$|f(x_1, \dots, x_j, y_{j+1}, \dots, y_d)| \geq \kappa_j = \kappa \cdot \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{60\mathrm{e}^3 d |y|}\right)^{j \cdot A} .$$

As

$$|\{x \in [0,1] : \quad x_j \notin X_j\}| \geq 1 - |X_1| - \dots - |X_d| \geq 1 - \varepsilon$$

the claim follows □

Appendix B. Distances of normalized eigenfunctions

Let X be a Hilbert space, and φ, ψ two unit vectors. We define the distance

$$d(\varphi, \psi) = \inf_{|c|=1} \|\varphi - c\psi\|. \tag{B.1}$$

Theorem B.1. *Let A be a self-adjoint operator on X with*

$$\mathrm{tr}(P_{[-\delta,\delta]}(A)) = 1 \tag{B.2}$$

and $A\psi = 0$, $\|\psi\| = 1$. Assume the φ with $\|\varphi\| = 1$ satisfies $\|A\varphi\| \leq \varepsilon$. Then

$$d(\varphi, \psi) \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{\delta}. \tag{B.3}$$

Proof. Let $\varphi_1 = \langle \psi, \varphi \rangle \psi$, $\varphi_2 = \varphi - \varphi_2$. Then $\varepsilon \geq \|A\varphi\| = \|A\varphi_2\| \geq \delta \|\varphi_2\|$. Thus $|\langle \psi, \varphi \rangle| = \|\varphi_1\| \geq 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}$. Taking $c = \langle \psi, \varphi \rangle / |\langle \psi, \varphi \rangle|$ the claim follows. □

More sophisticated versions of this argument can be found in Section 9 of [8]. In particular, the methods discussed there would allow one to understand the set $\{(x, \ell) \in \mathbb{V} : \quad E(x, \ell) = E\}$ for any $E \in \mathbb{R}$.

REFERENCES

[1] J. Avron, B. Simon, *Almost periodic Schrödinger operators. I. Limit periodic potentials.* Comm. Math. Phys. **82:1** (1981/82), 101–120.

[2] J. Bourgain, *Green's function estimates for lattice Schrödinger operators and applications*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, **158**. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2005. x+173 pp.

[3] J. Bourgain, *Anderson localization for quasi-periodic lattice Schrödinger operators on* $\mathbb{Z}^d$*, d arbitrary*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **17:3** (2007), 682–706.

[4] D. Damanik, Z. Gan, Zheng, *Spectral properties of limit-periodic Schrödinger operators.* Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. **10:3** (2011), 859–871.

[5] D. Damanik, Z. Gan, Zheng, *Limit-Periodic Schrödinger Operators on* $\mathbb{Z}^d$*: Uniform Localization.*

[6] Y. Karpeshina, Y.-R. Lee, *Spectral properties of polyharmonic operators with limit-periodic potential in dimension two.* J. Anal. Math. **102** (2007), 225–310.

[7] W. Kirsch, *An invitation to random Schrdinger operators.* With an appendix by Frdric Klopp. Panor. Synthses, **25**, Random Schrdinger operators, 1–119, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2008.

[8] H. Krüger, *The spectrum of skew-shift Schrödinger operators contains intervals.* J. Funct. Anal. **262:3** (2012), 773–810.

[9] H. Krüger, *Periodic and limit-periodic discrete Schrödinger operators.*

[10] B. Ya. Levin, *Lectures on entire functions.* In collaboration with and with a preface by Yu. Lyubarskii, M. Sodin and V. Tkachenko. Translated from the Russian manuscript by Tkachenko. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, **150**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996. xvi+248 pp.

MATHEMATICS 253-37, CALTECH, PASADENA, CA 91125
E-mail address: `helge@caltech.edu`
URL: `http://www.its.caltech.edu/~helge/`